

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Irrademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Aldxandra, Vilginia 22313-1450 www.uspia.gov

				igcup		
APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,423	-	10/11/2001	William B. Noble	1328.014	9084	
33164	7590	07/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RAYTHEO			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E			
C/O DALY, 354A TURN		EY, MOFFORD & D REET	DURKEE, LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 301A	A			2143		
CANTON,	MA 020	21	DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Advisory Action	09/975,423	NOBLE ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid abar fidavit, or other eviden- compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying t	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			
arguments presented are not persuasive (see continuat 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	ion sheet).		oo because.
13. Other:	(1 10/0B/00 011 10-1449) 1 aper 1	TEMIDIANI P	
	รับเ ว	PERVISORY PAPENT E TECHNOLOGY CENTER	XAMINER 3 2100

Applicant's Arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

In the remarks, Applicant argues, in substance, that (1) Huang does not disclose initiating a data push from either the first or second sharing partners, and (2) Huang does not disclose altering a shared file.

As to point (1) Applicant can appreciate that Huang discloses the user initiating the update synchronization procedures at col. 12, lines 1-37. This update synchronization procedure clearly demonstrates that Huang pushes the data to each sharing partner. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

As to point (2) Applicant can appreciate that in order for a file to be created, a null file must be altered in order to insert data into the file. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

